

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ler

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/772,431	02/06/2004	Mitsuharu Tai	NITT.0188	8944	
7590 08/03/2006			EXAMINER		
Stanley P. Fisher			VU, PHU		
Reed Smith LLP 3110 Fairview Park Drive, Suite 1400 Falls Church, VA 22042-4503			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
			DATE MAILED: 08/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/772,431	TAI ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Phu Vu	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of		a final mainationbiaha			
b) Lightharpoonup The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	*	D WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL					
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.		
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	elected claims			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	, ,,	ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s			(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· ———	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar					
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing					
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	ry and was not earlier presented. S	See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	· (1).		
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the co	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	ched.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			
					

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's amendment has changed the scope of the claims such that no comment can be made directed toward the patentability of the amended claims without additional search and consideration.

ANDREW SCHECHTER PRIMARY EXAMINER